

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/991,154	11/16/2001	Morten Nissov	1020	3833	
7	2590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
John P. Maldjian			PHAN, HANH		
TyCom (US) I Rm 2B-106	nc.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
250 Industrial Way West			2633		
Eatontown, N.	J 07724		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Me /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/991,154	NISSOV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hanh Phan	2633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre)ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a ny within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commination ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/10	<u>6/2001</u> .		
<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	• •	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received. s have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	received in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bureau		rocoived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	receiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/24/2004</u>. 	_	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/991,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

1. In claim 26, the phrase "a Raman/EDFA amplifier" should be changed to -- of a Raman/EDFA amplifier--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 26 recites the limitation "said Raman portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said rare earth doped amplifier" in line 1.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under

Art Unit: 2633

the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-14, 16-18, 20, 21, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun et al (US Patent No. 6,417,961).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Figures 1 and 2, Sun discloses a method of compensating for chromatic dispersion in an optical signal transmitted on a long-haul terrestrial optical communication system including a plurality of spans (16)(Fig. 1), said method comprising:

allowing chromatic dispersion to accumulate over at least one of said spans to a first predetermined level (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 1-12); and

compensating for said first pre-determined level of dispersion using a dispersion compensating fiber (i.e., dispersion compensating fiber DCF 28, Fig. 2) causing accumulation of dispersion to a second predetermined level (col. 3, lines 36-67).

Regarding claim 2, Sun further discloses the chromatic dispersion is allowed to accumulate over two or more of said spans to said first predetermined level (Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 3, Sun further discloses the dispersion compensating fiber (i.e., DCF 28, Fig. 2) is disposed between stages of a multi-stage rare earth doped amplifier (24, 34)(Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 1-17).

Regarding claim 4, Sun further discloses rare earth doped amplifier is an erbium doped amplifier (Figs. 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 5, Sun further discloses the dispersion compensating fiber (i.e., DCF 28, Fig. 2) is disposed in an amplifier (18)(Fig. 1) following a relatively low loss one of the spans (16)(Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Sun further discloses the dispersion compensating fiber (i.e., DCF 28, Fig. 2) is disposed between a Raman portion (26, 38, 36) and an EDFA portion (34) of a Raman/EDFA amplifier.

Regarding claim 7, Sun further discloses configuring a gain of the Raman portion to achieve a desired noise figure level for the Raman/EDFA amplifier (col. 4, lines 37-53).

Regarding claim 9, Sun further discloses configuring a gain of said EDFA portion to achieve a predetermined total gain for said Raman/EDFA amplifier (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 11, Sun further discloses the EDFA (34)(Fig. 2) portion of the Raman/EDFA amplifier is a single-stage EDFA.

Regarding claims 12, 16 and 25, Sun further discloses the signal is transmitted a distance of greater than 600 kilometers (col. 1, lines 26-32).

Regarding claims 13, 17, 20, 21, 27 and 28, referring to Figures 1 and 2, Sun discloses an optical communication system comprising:

a transmitter (12)(Fig. 1) configured to transmit an optical signal over an optical information path (16, 18)(Fig. 1) to a receiver (14)(col. 3, lines 1-12), the optical information path (16, 18) comprising:

Art Unit: 2633

at least one Raman/EDFA amplifier (18)(Fig. 2) having a Raman portion (26, 38, 36)(Fig. 2) and an EDFA (34) portion and at least one dispersion compensating fiber (28)(Fig. 2) disposed between the Raman portion and the EDFA portion (col. 3, lines 37-67 and col. 4, lines 1-17).

Regarding claims 14, 18 and 24, Sun further discloses the EDFA portion (34)(Fig. 2) is a single-stage EDFA.

Regarding claims 26 and 29, Sun further discloses the dispersion compensating fiber (26)(Fig. 2) is disposed within a Raman portion of a Raman/EDFA amplifier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8, 10, 15, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al (US Patent No. 6,417,961) in view of Friedrich (US Patent No. 6,466,362).

Regarding claims 8, 15, 19 and 22, Sun differs from claims 8, 15, 19 and 22 in that he does not specifically teach the gain of the Raman portion is about 10-15dB. However, Friedrich in US Patent No. 6,466,362 teaches the gain of the Raman portion is about 10-15dB (col. 7, lines 5-7). Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2633

obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the gain of the Raman portion is about 10-15dB as taught by Friedrich in the system of Sun. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Friedrich suggests in column 7, lines 5-7 that using such the gain of the Raman portion is about 10-15dB have advantage of allowing minimizing the noise figure for a plurality of different span losses.

Regarding claims 10 and 23, the combination of Sun and Friedrich teaches the gain of the EDFA portion is about 5-15 dB (col. 7, lines 5-7 of Friedrich).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Taylor et al (US Patent No. 6,178,038) discloses optical amplifier having an improved noise figure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Marlyhan Hanh Phan

09/24/2004